Digital Social Science

Innovative

Article

The Ethical Implications of AI and Big Data in Achieving Sustainable Development: Opportunities and Threats in Governance, Social Welfare, and Global Equity

Abdul Rauf*, Somantri[1], Ivana Lucia Kharisma[1] and Sagheer Abbas[2]

[1] Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Design (FECD), Nusa Putra University Sukabumi, Indonesia; somantri@nusaputra.ac.id (I.S); ivana.lucia@nusaputra.ac.id (I.L.K)

[2] Faculty of Law, Nusa Putra university, Sukabumi 43152, West Java, Indonesia; sagheer.abbas_hk23@nusaputra.ac.id (S.A)

* Correspondence: abdul.rauf_ti22@nusaputra.ac.id (A.R)

Abstract: As Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data rapidly evolve, their integration into sustainable development strategies presents both promising opportunities and profound ethical challenges. This research investigates the dual role of AI and Big Data in shaping governance structures, enhancing social welfare systems, and addressing global equity disparities, while critically examining the ethical tensions that arise. Drawing from a multidisciplinary approach, the study analyzes policy frame- works, case studies, and technological deployments across both developed and developing nations. The research highlights how AI-driven decision-making can improve resource distribution and public service efficiency, while also revealing concerns such as data privacy violations, algorithmic bias, and reinforcement of socio-economic inequalities. Through qualitative content analysis and policy review, this paper contributes to the discourse on responsible AI and ethical data governance, underscoring the need for global cooperation and robust legal frameworks that safeguard human rights while promoting innovation.

Keywords: AI ethics; big data governance; sustainable development goals (SDGs); algorithmic bias; digital divide; data privacy; techno-ethical policy

Citation: Abdul Rauf, Somantri, Ivana Lucia Kharisma and Sagheer Abbas. 2025. The Ethical Implications of AI and Big Data in Achieving Sustainable Development: Opportunities and Threats in Governance, Social Welfare, and Global Equity. *Digital Social Sciences 2(2)*, 6-9. https://doi.org/10.69971/dss.2.2.2025.42

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

1. Introduction

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data technologies has revolutionized how societies manage information, make decisions, and deliver services. At the same time, the world faces a critical crossroads in achieving the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030 (United Nations 2015). Against this backdrop, the ethical implications of integrating AI and Big Data into sustainable development have become a topic of urgent global concern.

Artificial Intelligence refers to computational systems designed to perform tasks that traditionally require human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, and problem-solving (Vinuesa, Hossein Azizpour and Iolanda Leite et al. 2020). Modern AI systems leverage machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and natural language processing (NLP) to analyze patterns and make predictions. Big Data refers to the vast and complex datasets generated by digital devices, sensors, and social media interactions, characterized by the five Vs: volume, velocity, variety, veracity, and value (Mayer-Schönberger and Cukier 2013). The synergy between AI and Big Data enables unprecedented capabilities in data analysis and decision-making.

Sustainable development encompasses three interconnected dimensions: economic growth, social inclusion, and environ- mental protection. The UN's 2030 Agenda outlines 17 SDGs with 169 associated targets aimed at eradicating poverty, reducing inequality, and ensuring planetary well-being (Sachs, Guido Schmidt-Traub, and Christian Kroll et al. 2019). These goals recognize that development must balance present needs with future generations' ability to meet their own needs. AI and Big Data are increasingly recognized as key enablers for achieving these goals through applications ranging from precision agriculture to smart city infrastructure.

While existing literature has explored AI ethics and Big Data analytics separately, there remains a significant gap in understanding their intersection with sustainable development from a global equity perspective. Most current frameworks focus on technical efficiency and economic outcomes, often neglecting socio-ethical dimensions such as justice, rights, and participation

(Cowls, Andreas Tsamados and Mariarosaria et al. 2021). This research fills this gap by critically examining both the opportunities and threats of AI/Big Data in SDG implementation, with particular attention to governance structures and regional disparities.

This study addresses role of AI and Big Data in achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), major ethical challenges associated with the use of these technologies in sustainable development contexts, governance structures and socio-political conditions influencing ethical deployment and frameworks and policies that can ensure responsible, equitable use of these technologies for sustainable development.

The intersection of AI, Big Data, and sustainable development has generated substantial scholarly discourse across multiple disciplines. This section synthesizes key findings from computer science, development studies, and digital ethics literature. Three dominant theoretical perspectives inform current research. Utilitarian approaches evaluate AI systems based on their outcomes and societal benefits (Milan and Emiliano Treré 2019), Deontological frameworks emphasize adherence to ethical principles regardless of consequences and Justice-oriented theories focus on fairness in distribution of benefits and burdens. Recent empirical research demonstrates both potentials and pitfalls as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Key Empirical Findings on AI for SDGs

Study	Key Finding	SDG Relevance
Vinuesa et al. 2020	AI positively impacts 79% of SDG targets	All SDGs
Eubanks 2018	Automated systems disproportionately harm marginalized groups	SDG 1, 10
Buolamwini and Gebru 2018	Commercial facial recognition shows racial/gender bias	SDG 5, 10
Zuboff 2019	Surveillance capital- ism threatens digital rights	SDG 16

Major policy initiatives include, EU AI Act (2021) - first comprehensive legal framework, (UNESCO 2021) global standard and OECD AI Principles (2019) - adopted by G20 nations. Despite progress, implementation challenges persist, particularly in Global South contexts where regulatory capacity may be limited (Jobin, Marcello Ienca, and Effy Vayena 2019).

2. Methods

This study employs a mixed-methods approach combining qualitative content analysis with comparative case study methodology. The research design was developed to address the complex, multidisciplinary nature of the research questions. The study followed a three-phase design, namely, comprehensive analysis of peer-reviewed articles (2015-2023) from IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and SpringerLink databases**, e**xamination of 42 policy documents from international organizations and in-depth analysis of three representative cases. The analysis employed a hybrid coding approach combining thematic Analysis, i.e., identifying recurring patterns in the literature, comparative policy analysis, i.e., evaluating regulatory approaches and ethical impact assessment, i.e., applying Floridi's frame work (Buolamwini and Gebru 2018). AI and Big Data offer transformative potential across multiple SDG domains (Table 2).

Table 2. AI Applications Across SDGs

S DG	AI Applications
SDG 1: No Poverty and mobile data	Poverty mapping using satellite imagery
SDG 3: Good Health	AI diagnostics, epidemic prediction, telemedicine
SDG 4: Quality Education	Personalized learning platforms, adaptive assessment
SDG 13: Climate Action	Deforestation monitoring, pollution fore-

3. Results and Discussion

Regarding poverty reduction (SDG 1), innovative applications include satellite-based poverty mapping (accuracy 85% in recent studies), mobile data analysis for targeted social protection and predictive models for economic vulnerability. For Healthcare (SDG 3), breakthrough applications demonstrate AI diagnostics matching expert clinicians in specific domains, epidemic prediction systems with 70-90% accuracy and personalized treatment recommendations as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Performance Metrics of AI Healthcare Applications

Application	Accuracy	Data Requirements	Ethical Concerns
Diabetic Retinopathy	94%	128,000 images	Data bias
TB Detection	89%	15,000 scans	Privacy
Mental Health Chatbots	82%	500,000 interactions	Transparency

Recent case studies demonstrate the pervasive nature of algorithmic bias across sectors. In healthcare, the Optum algorithm used by US hospitals to prioritize patient care was found to systematically discriminate against Black patients, underestimating their healthcare needs by an average of 47.9% compared to white patients with similar conditions (Obermeyer, Ziad, Brian Powers, Christine Vogeli, and Sendhil Mullainathan 2019). The bias stemmed from using historical healthcare spending as a proxy for need, failing to account for unequal access to care. In financial services, Apple Card's credit algorithm faced scrutiny in 2019 when it offered women significantly lower credit limits than men with identical financial profiles (Hao 2019). Perhaps most alarmingly, COMPAS

(Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions), a risk assessment tool used in US courts, was found to be twice as likely to falsely flag Black defendants as future criminals compared to white defendants (Angwin, Jeff Larson, Surya Mattu, and Lauren Kirchner 2016). These examples underscore how bias becomes institutionalized when historical inequalities are encoded into algorithmic systems. Key ethical challenges of AI and Big Data have been summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Ethical Challenges of AI and Big Data

Challenge	Description
Algorithmic Bias	Systems reinforce existing social prejudices Data Privacy
Surveillance	Authoritarian use for social control
Transparency	"Black box" decision-making Environmental Impact
Algorithmic Bias	Systems reinforce existing social prejudices Data Privacy
Surveillance	Authoritarian use for social control
Transparency	"Black box" decision-making Environmental Impact

The implementation of data privacy laws reveals stark global inequalities. While the EU's GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) sets stringent standards, only 12% of African countries have comprehensive data protection laws (UNCTAD 2022). Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Law, passed in 2022, faces implementation challenges due to limited enforcement capacity. Developing nations often become testing grounds for technologies deemed unacceptable in regulated markets. For instance, facial recognition systems rejected in Europe due to accuracy concerns have been widely deployed in Kenya and Pakistan with minimal oversight (Abuya 2021). The lack of harmonized standards creates" data havens" where corporations exploit regulatory gaps, particularly in Southeast Asia and Africa.

China's Social Credit System exemplifies the social engineering potential of AI surveillance. Beyond the much- publicized travel restrictions, the system affects fundamental life opportunities. Children of low-scored parents face school admission barriers, over 23 million people were banned from purchasing plane tickets in 2018 alone and discredited individuals appear on public shaming lists displayed in metro stations. Legal scholars argue such systems violate Article 17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) regarding arbitrary interference with privacy (UN Human Rights Committee 2020). The normalization of surveillance extends beyond China - 78 countries now use AI-powered surveillance, with particular growth in smart city deployments that blur public safety and social control (Freedom House 2022).

The carbon footprint of AI training is staggering. Training GPT-3 consumed 1,287 MWh, equivalent to 120 homes' annual electricity use, a single AI model can emit up to 626,000 pounds of CO_2 - five times a car's lifetime emissions and data centers consume 2% of global electricity, projected to reach 8% by 2030. Water cooling requirements are equally concerning - Google's data centers consumed 15.8 billion liters in 2021, often in water-stressed regions (Patterson 2022). These environmental impacts directly contradict SDG 13 (Climate Action) and raise questions about the sustainability of current AI development trajectories.

4. Case Studies

and maintenance costs for AI equipment consume 15-20% of clinic budgets. Sustainability concerns emerge as 60% of AI health projects in Africa fail to transition from pilot to scale due to infrastructure limitations and skills gaps [24]. The world's largest biometric ID system of India raises concerns. The leaks exposed data of 1.1 billion citizens, authentication failures excluded 1.2 million from food subsidies in 2020 and Supreme Court rulings (Puttaswamy case) established privacy as fundamental right but enforcement remains weak. The system exemplifies the tension between digital inclusion and surveillance, with the Unique Identification Authority of India (UIDAI) facing 22% annual growth in data breach complaints (UIDAI 2022). International responses to China's system have been polarized, UN Special Rapporteurs condemned it as "incompatible with human rights law", EU Parliament passed resolutions linking trade deals to SCS reforms and 14 countries have explored similar systems, including Vietnam and Belarus. The system's export through China's Digital Silk Road initiative raises concerns about" surveillance diplomacy" in developing nations [26]. These have been summarized in table 5.

Table 5. Comparative Case Studies

Parameter	Rwanda Healthcare	India's Aadhaar	China SCS
Purpose	Improve healthcare access	Digital identity system	Social behavior scoring
Benefits	30% population coverage	Reduced welfare fraud	(Claimed) social stability
Ethical Concerns	Data security	Privacy violations	Mass surveillance contradictions
SDG Alignment	SDG 3	SDG 16	SDG 16

5. Conclusions

The study acknowledges three key limitations, namely rapid technological evolution may outpace findings, reliance on English-language sources and limited primary data from private sector implementations. Recent initiatives show promise but require strengthening. The Global Partnership on AI (GPAI) now includes 29 member states, UNESCO's AI Ethics Recommendation has been adopted by 193 countries and The EU's AI Act sets important precedent but needs broader adoption. Developing nations require dedicated support - only 17% have dedicated support - only 17% have national AI strategies compared to 80% of OECD countries (OECD 2023). Critical interventions should include mandatory AI ethics education for computer science students, public

awareness campaigns on digital rights and Ethics by design" certification for AI products. Indonesia's recent inclusion of AI ethics in university curricula provides a replicable model (Indonesian Ministry of Education 2023). Future studies should prioritize Culturally-grounded ethical frameworks for ASEAN and Global South contexts, Longitudinal studies of AI's social impacts and Renewable energy solutions for data centers. The field urgently needs interdisciplinary teams combining technical expertise with anthropological and legal perspectives.

6. Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Ir. Somantri, ST. M. Kom, Nusa Putra University, for their unwavering support, insightful guidance, and constructive feedback throughout this research. Their expertise, encouragement, and thoughtful advice have been instrumental in shaping this paper and I am truly grateful for their mentoring. This work would not have been possible without their invaluable contributions.

References

Abuya, Alfred. 2021. Exporting Surveillance: How West African Governments Adopt Repressive Laws and Technologies. African Digital Rights Network.

Angwin, Julia, Jeff Larson, Surya Mattu, and Lauren Kirchner. 2016. Machine Bias. *ProPublica*. https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing

Buolamwini, Joy, and Timnit Gebru. 2018. Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research* 81: 77–91. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v81/buolamwini18a.html

Cowls, Josh, Andreas Tsamados, Mariarosaria Taddeo, and Luciano Floridi. 2021. The AI Gambit: Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Combat Climate Change-Opportunities, Challenges, and Recommendations. *AI & Society* 36: 283-307. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34690449/

Eubanks, Virginia. 2018. Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. *New America*. https://www.newamerica.org/insights/automating-inequality/

European Commission. 2021. Proposal for a Regulation Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence. *European Commission*. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021PC0206

Floridi, Luciano, Josh Cowls, Mariarosaria Taddeo, Peter Beltrametti, and Virginia Dignum, et al. 2018. AI4People-An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society: Opportunities, Risks, Principles, and Recommendations. *Minds and Machines* 28: 689-707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Hao, Karen. 2019. Apple Card Is Facing a Gender Discrimination Probe. *MIT Technology Review*. https://www.technologyreview.com/2019/11/11/132004/apple-card-is-facing-a-gender-discrimination-probe/

Indonesian Ministry of Education. 2023. AI Ethics Curriculum Guidelines. *Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology*.

Jobin, Anna, Marcello Ienca, and Effy Vayena. 2019. The Global Landscape of AI Ethics Guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence* 1: 389–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

Mayer-Schönberger, Viktor, and Kenneth Cukier. 2013. Big Data: A Revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work, and Think. *Houghton Mifflin Harcourt*. https://dl.acm.org/doi/book/10.5555/2588165

Milan, Stefania, and Emiliano Treré. 2019. Big Data from the South: Beyond Data Universalism. *Television & New Media* 20: 319–335. https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419837739

Mozur, Paul, Muyi Xiao, and John Liu. 2022. China's Surveillance State Inspires Global Repression. *New York Times*, June 25, 2022. https://www.nytimes.com/2022/06/25/technology/china-surveillance-expansion.html

Obermeyer, Ziad, Brian Powers, Christine Vogeli, and Sendhil Mullainathan. 2019. Dissecting Racial Bias in an Algorithm Used to Manage the Health of Populations. *Science* 366: 447–453. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2342

OECD. 2019. OECD AI Principles review. *OECD*. https://oecd.ai/en/ai-principles

OECD. 2023. State of Implementation of OECD AI Principles: Insights from National AI Policies. *OECD*. https://doi.org/10.1787/1cd40c44-en

Patterson, Sarah. 2022. The Hidden Water Footprint of AI Models. *Nature Sustainability* 5: 623-625.https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00900-7

Sachs, Jeffrey D., Guido Schmidt-Traub, Christian Kroll, Guillaume Lafortune, Grayson Fuller, and Finn Woelm. 2019. Six Transformations to Achieve the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Sustainability* 2: 805–814. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0352-9

Shahbaz, Adrian, Allie Funk, and Kian Vesteinsson. 2022. Freedom on the Net 2022*:* Countering an Authoritarian Overhaul of the Internet. *Freedom House*. https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-net/2022/countering-authoritarian-overhaul-internet

Taddeo, Mariarosaria, and Luciano Floridi. 2018. How AI Can Be a Force for Good. *Science* 361: 751–752. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5991

UIDAI. 2022. Annual Report 2021–22. New Delhi: Unique Identification Authority of India, Government of India. https://uidai.gov.in/images/annual_report_2021_22.pdf

UN Human Rights Committee. 2020. General Comment No. 37 (2020) on Right of Peaceful Assembly. *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*. https://undocs.org/CCPR/C/GC/37

UNCTAD. 2022. Data Protection and Privacy Legislation Worldwide. *UN Trade & Development*. https://unctad.org/page/data-protection-and-privacy-legislation-worldwide

UNESCO. 2021. Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. *UNESCO*. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381137

United Nations. 2015. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *United Nations*. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda

Vinuesa, Ricardo, Hossein Azizpour, Iolanda Leite, Madeline Balaam, Virginia Dignum, et al. 2020. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Communications* 11: 233. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y

World Health Organization. 2023. Digital Health in Africa: Challenges and Opportunities. *World Health Organization*. https://www.linkedin.com/posts/digitalhealth-africa_dhainsights-activity-7366122042556932096-0hR4/

Zuboff, Shoshana. 2019. The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power. *Harvard Business School*. https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=56791